

I. INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff brings this action to redress the excessive force used by Defendant Van Winkle upon Plaintiff's minor children, C.T. and G.T. Plaintiff seeks declaratory, injunctive, monetary and other appropriate relief to redress the rights secured to his children by the laws of the United States of America and the State of New Jersey.

II. JURISDICTION

2. This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. Jurisdiction is founded on 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(3) and the aforementioned statutory and constitutional provisions.
3. Jurisdiction lies over state law claims based on the principles of supplemental jurisdiction, as codified at 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
4. The amount in controversy exclusive of interest and costs exceeds the sum of one hundred thousand (\$100,000.00) Dollars.

III. VENUE

5. All claims herein arose within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey and involve Defendants who reside within the jurisdictional limits. Venue is accordingly invoked pursuant to the dictates of 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c).

IV. PARTIES

6. Plaintiff, Christopher Terranova, is an individual citizen of Bergen County, New Jersey, residing therein at 180 Burton Avenue, Hasbrouck Heights, NJ 07604, with his wife Lauren Terranova and their two minor children, C.T. and G.T.
7. Defendant Borough of Hasbrouck Heights is a municipality within the State of New Jersey and owns, operates, manages, directs and controls the Hasbrouck Heights Police

Department (HHPD), which employs the other named individual defendants and which maintains headquarters at 248 Hamilton Ave, Hasbrouck Heights, NJ 07604.

8. Defendant Police Chief Michael Colaneri has at all times material served as police chief of the HHPD, and in such a capacity oversees the operations of the HHPD, including but not limited to officer training, discipline, and assignments, and constitutes a final policymaker for the HHPD and the Borough of Hasbrouck Heights.
9. Defendant Harold Van Winkle is a Class III Police Officer for the HHPD and has maintained said position at all times material.
10. The Hasbrouck Heights School District (HHSD) is a school district located in Bergen County, New Jersey, with main offices located at 379 Boulevard, Hasbrouck Heights, NJ 07604. The School Board controls all operations for the entire Hasbrouck Heights School District.
11. At all times material, Dr. Matthew Helfant has been the Superintendent of the HHSD, and in such a capacity oversees the operations of the HHSD, and constitutes a final policymaker for the HHSD.

V. FACTS

12. In approximately mid-November 2018, both C.T. and G.T. were enrolled in and attending HHSD's Lincoln Elementary School; C.T. was in third grade and G.T. was in fifth grade.
13. At all times material herein, HHSD had entered into an agreement with the Borough Heights Police Department to provide security for three schools within the HHSD, including the Lincoln Elementary School.
14. Pursuant to said agreement, one Class III police officer would be assigned to Lincoln School at any given time, and said officer was at all times acting in the scope of his

employment with the police department, was subject to the rules and regulations of the police department, and was to act under the direction of the HHPD Chief of Police.

15. The officers selected for these school assignments were retired officers employed by HHPD for this assignment and classified as “Class III” officers of the HHPD. In such a capacity, a Class III officer maintains full police powers and responsibilities while on duty, and is subject to the applicable laws and police policies for the police department where said officer is employed.
16. One such Class III officer hired by HHPD for the purpose of providing school security was Defendant Van Winkle.
17. The primary purpose of having the officers in the school was to stand guard by the main entrance of the school, in order to deter and prevent intruders and/or dangerous individuals from entering the school, such as a school shooter or any other outside individual posing a threat to the welfare and safety of the children and staff inside the school.
18. At Lincoln Elementary School, the students’ book bags and backpacks were maintained near the front entrance, where the assigned officer was stationed.
19. In or around approximately mid-November 2018, Defendant Van Winkle was assigned to the Lincoln School and acting under color of law while on duty at the school.
20. On said date, when children were in the vicinity of the front entrance, Officer Van Winkle began yelling at said children that they were being too noisy.
21. This was not part of Van Winkle’s duties, which were strictly to ensure the safety of the children and staff and to guard against potential intruders.

22. Thereafter during this incident Defendant Van Winkle threatened and intimidated the children by withdrawing his police-issued baton and banged it against the wall, in order to intimidate and threaten the students with physical harm if they remained noisy.
23. After C.T. made a noise, Van Winkle took his baton and intentionally shoved it into the chest and/or collar bone of C.T. and presses him against the wall, causing C.T. physical pain and suffering, and preventing C.T. from leaving.
24. As a result of Van Winkle's yelling and use of the baton, C.T. was put into reasonable fear of imminent bodily harm.
25. Upon information and belief, Van Winkle engaged in similar conduct with his baton towards another child during this same incident, knocking said child to the ground.
26. On another incident in or about the end of November 2018, while children were again gathered near the front entrance, Van Winkle kicked G.T.'s school bag and the bag of another child for no justifiable reason, thus threatening the imposition of physical harm on G.T..
27. As a result of Van Winkle's yelling, use of the baton, and his kicking of G.T.'s bag, G.T. was put into reasonable fear of imminent bodily harm.
28. The unlawful touching and threatening manner of Defendant Van Winkle was without provocation, and none of the children at any point posed a threat to each other or to Officer Van Winkle.
29. Defendants' conduct constituted an unreasonable use of force, as well as an assault and battery.
30. As a result of complaints by various teachers and parents, Van Winkle was temporarily removed from Lincoln Elementary School by Chief Colaneri.

31. However, within months, Chief Colaneri returned Van Winkle to duty within the Hasbrouck School District, where he continues to serve as a police officer inside schools, thus posing an ongoing threat to students.

COUNT I
PLAINTIFF, on behalf of C.T. and G.T. V. DEFENDANT VAN WINKLE
VIOLATIONS OF 42 U.S.C. 1983
Excessive Use of Force

32. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding allegations of this Complaint as though each were individually set forth herein at length.
33. Without any justification or provocation, Defendant Van Winkle acting under color of law, willfully and maliciously attacked C.T. and caused injury. Such acts constituted a grossly excessive use of force.
34. Further, without any justification or provocation, Defendant Van Winkle caused G.T. reasonable fear of imminent bodily harm.
35. The conduct by Defendant Van Winkle was objectively unreasonable and undertaken maliciously and sadistically, for the purpose of causing harm. Such attack was an unnecessary, wanton infliction of harm for no good faith purpose.
36. As a result of the above conduct, C.T. and G.T. suffered physical and/or emotional pain and suffering, as set forth above.
37. By engaging in said conduct, Defendant Van Winkle used excessive force without just or legal cause and thereby violated the laws and Constitution of the state of New Jersey and the New Jersey Attorney General's Guidelines on Use of Force.
38. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant, C.T. and G.T. suffered physical and/or emotional pain and suffering, and were deprived of their rights under the laws and Constitution of the United States, in particular, the Fourth Amendment to the United States

Constitution, the due process clause of the United States Constitution, and 42 U.S.C. §1983, and was further in violation of the Statutes of the State of New Jersey.

39. As a result of the aforementioned occurrence, and due to intention and willful acts of the Defendants, C.T. and G.T. have suffered and will in the future suffer severe emotional distress, bodily injury, pain, emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, inconvenience, loss of pleasure and enjoyment of life, and medical expenses.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant in an amount in excess of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00) plus interest, cost, attorney's fees and punitive damages.

COUNT II
PLAINTIFF, on behalf of C.T. and G.T., V. ALL DEFENDANTS
VIOLATIONS OF 42 U.S.C. 1983

40. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding allegations of this Complaint as though each were individually set forth herein at length.
41. Defendants Borough of Hasbrouck Heights and the Hasbrouck Heights School District, by and through Defendants Colaneri, Defendant Helfant, and other policymakers, developed policies and/or customs which caused the deprivation of G.T. and C.T.'s constitutional and statutory rights.
42. Said policies were inherently deficient, which caused the unlawful conduct by Van Winkle
43. Defendants Borough of Hasbrouck Heights and the Hasbrouck Heights School District, by and through Defendants Colaneri, Defendant Helfant and other policymakers, negligently, recklessly, and/or intentionally:
- a. failed to properly train the Defendant Van Winkle with regard to the excessive use of force towards students;

- b. failed to properly train Defendant Van Winkle with regard to the scope of his duties
 - c. failed to properly supervise Defendant Van Winkle with regard to the excessive use of force towards students;
 - d. maintained policies and/or customs that were deliberately indifferent to the constitutional rights of its students, in that it knew and acquiesced to unjustified violence by Van Winkle.
44. Based on the foregoing, Defendants negligently, recklessly, and/or intentionally failed to take prompt, appropriate and/or reasonable remedies to prevent, stop, discipline, and remedy the excessive use of force toward students by Van Winkle.
45. Defendants aforementioned failures constituted the cause and moving force behind the intentional, reckless, negligent, and deliberately indifferent actions of the Defendant Van Winkle resulting in the harms to C.T. and G.T. aforesaid. Individual Defendant Van Winkle acted under and in accordance with the above policies and/or customs in committing the attacks described above.
46. As a result of the attack, C.T. and G.T. suffered injuries as set forth above.
47. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants, C.T. and G.T. suffered harm and were deprived of their rights under the laws and Constitution of the United States, in particular, the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution, the due process clause of the United States Constitution, and 42 U.S.C. §1983, and was further in violation of the Statutes of the State of New Jersey.
48. As a result of the aforementioned occurrence, and due to intention and willful acts of the Defendants, C.T. and G.T. have suffered and will in the future suffer severe emotional

distress, bodily injury, pain, emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, inconvenience, loss of pleasure and enjoyment of life, and medical expenses.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants in an amount in excess of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00) plus interest, cost, attorney's fees and punitive damages.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 14, 2019

BY: /s/ Ryan M. Lockman, Esq.
RYAN M. LOCKMAN, ESQUIRE
Attorneys for Plaintiff